

RECEIVED
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
FEB 10 2005

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
GROUP 1771
PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q57646

Norikane NABATA, et al.

Appl. No.: 09/487,239

Group Art Unit: 1771

Confirmation No.: 2929

Examiner: Hai VO

Filed: January 20, 2000

For: LAMINATE FOR CONTAINER AND CONTAINER FOR ADSORBENT

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Ok to enter,
HV, 02/17/05

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated November 4, 2004, please consider the remarks as submitted herewith on the accompanying pages.

REMARKS

Claims 6 and 8-12 are all the claims pending in the application.

The Examiner continues to reject claims 6 and 8-12 under § 103 as being unpatentable over Dauber et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,538,545) in view of Takiguchi, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,804,074) for the reasons presented in the previous Office Action.

Reviewing the prosecution history of this case, in the last response, Applicants argued that a person of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to substitute the high